

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/768,296	01/30/2004	Shane J. Van Sloun	17310-299756	17310-299756 6316	
25764	7590 04/03/2006		EXAMINER		
FAEGRE & BENSON LLP PATENT DOCKETING 2200 WELLS FARGO CENTER			DAVIS, DAVID DONALD		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN 55402		2627		
			DATE MAILED: 04/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/768,296	VAN SLOUN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David D. Davis	2627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO.	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/25/	me					
	action is non-final.					
,_		procedution as to the morito is				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
olosed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11,	433 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-17 and 19-22 is/are	4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-17 and 19-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	_					
•		- Foreign to a g				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	-	· ·				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1196	a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau		ved in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		yed				
and allastica detailed office action for a list of	or the definited copies flot recei					
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ov (PTO 413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informa	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 6-17 and 19-22 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on January 25, 2006.

Information Disclosure Statement

 Receipt is acknowledged of the Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) received January 30, 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sogabe (US 5,491,599). As per claim 1, Sogabe shows in figures 10-11 a method of forming a head suspension for a rigid disk drive having a load beam 20 with a mounting region 131, a rigid region, and a spring region located between the mounting region 131 and rigid region. Figures 10-11 of Sogabe show generating a plurality of tabs 142 adjacent to an aperture 134 in the mounting region 131; and bending at least one of the tabs 142 at an angle with respect to the mounting region 131 to generate an integral boss tower.

Application/Control Number: 10/768,296 Page 3

Art Unit: 2627

4. <u>As per claim 2</u>, Sogabe shows in figures 10-11 the tabs 142 and the mounting region 131 include different portions of the same piece of material. <u>As per claim 3</u>, Sogabe shows in figures 10-11 bending at least one of the tabs 142. <u>As per claim 4</u>, Sogabe shows in figures 10-11 the tabs 142 with a first portion directed away from the mounting region 131. <u>As per claim 5</u>, Sogabe shows in figures 10-11 the tabs 142 with a second portion directed towards the mounting region 131.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sogabe (US 5,491,599) in view of Tanaka et al (US 6,226,152). As per claim 18, Sogabe shows in figures 10-11 a method of forming a head suspension for a rigid disk drive having a load beam 20 with a

Application/Control Number: 10/768,296

Art Unit: 2627

mounting region 131, a rigid region, and a spring region located between the mounting region 131 and rigid region. Figures 10-11 of Sogabe shows generating a plurality of tabs 142 located around an aperture 134 in the mounting region 131; and positioning the tabs 142 at an angle with respect to the mounting region 131.

Page 4

Sogabe is silent as to injection molding the boss tower to the mounting region.

Tanaka et al discloses in the paragraph bridging columns 7 and 8 and shows in figure 7 injection molding the boss tower to the mounting region using a material which flows around the boss tower and the mounting region.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to injection mold the boss tower to the mounting region of Sogabe using a material which flows around the tabs between the boss tower and the mounting region as taught by Tanaka et al. The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to injection mold the boss tower to the mounting region using a material which flows around the tabs between the boss tower and the mounting region so as to firm secure and strength the bond between the boss tower, the tabs and the mounting region.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David D. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-7572. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday between 7:30-4:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/768,296 Page 5

Art Unit: 2627

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dwayne D. Bost can be reached on 571-272-7023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2627

ddd